



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes:

OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the landlord pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for Orders as follows:

1. An Order of Possession - Section 55;
2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent / loss of revenue - Section 67;
3. An Order to retain the security deposit / pet deposit - Section 38
4. An Order to recover the filing fee for this application - Section 72.

Both parties attended the hearing and were given full opportunity to present all relevant evidence and relevant testimony in respect to this claim and to make relevant prior submission to the hearing and fully participate in the conference call hearing. Prior to concluding the hearing both parties acknowledged they had presented all of the relevant evidence that they wished to present.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the notice to end tenancy valid?

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the landlord entitled to the monetary amounts claimed?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on June 1, 1999. Rent in the amount of \$840.00 is currently payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy, the landlord collected a security deposit from the tenant in the amount of \$325.00 which the landlord still holds. The tenant failed to pay rent in the month of May 2012 and on May

02, 2012 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant further failed to pay rent for the month of June 2012. The tenant testified that the rent arrears have not been paid. The quantum of the landlord's monetary claim is for the unpaid rent in the sum of **\$1680.00**.

Analysis

Based on the landlord's testimony I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent and I find the notice to be valid. The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and has not applied for Dispute Resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice.

Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an **Order of Possession**.

I also find that the landlord has established a monetary claim for **\$1680.00** in unpaid rent. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50 filing fee, for a total entitlement of **\$1730.00**. The security deposit will be off-set from the award made herein.

Calculation for Monetary Order

Rental Arrears	\$1680.00
Less Security Deposit and applicable interest <i>to date</i>	-358.23
Total Monetary Award	\$1371.77

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective 2 days from the day it is served on the tenant. The tenant must be served with this **Order of Possession**. Should the tenant fail to comply with the Order, the Order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I Order that the landlord retain the deposit and interest of \$358.23 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord a Monetary Order under Section 67 of the Act for

the balance due of **\$1371.77**. If necessary, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This Decision is final and binding on the parties.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 13, 2012

Residential Tenancy Branch