

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPC, OPB

## Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the Landlord for an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act).

I accept the Landlord's evidence that the Tenant was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by <u>registered mail</u> on May 28, 2012 in accordance with Section 89 of the Act. The Tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing. The Landlord was given full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

## Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Notice to End Tenancy for Cause valid?

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

## Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on October 1, 2009. Rent in the amount of \$600.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy, the Landlord collected a security deposit from the Tenant in the amount of \$300.00. On April 8, 2012, the Landlord served the Tenant with a 1 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the "Notice") by posting the Notice on the door. The Notice has an effective date of May 31, 2012. The Tenant has not filed an application to dispute the Notice and has not moved out of the unit.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 47 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a Notice to end Tenancy for Cause,

the tenant may, within ten days of receiving the notice, dispute the notice by filing an

Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If the tenant

does not dispute the Notice, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that

the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice and must vacate the unit by that

date.

Based on the Landlord's evidence I find that the Tenant was served with the Notice and

I find the Notice to be valid. The Tenant has not filed an application to dispute the

notice and must therefore vacate the unit. Given these facts, I find that the Landlord is

entitled to an Order of Possession.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the Landlord. The Tenant must be served with this

**Order of Possession**. Should the Tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may

be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that

Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: June 18, 2012.	
	· ·

Residential Tenancy Branch