



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding in response to an application by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 55(4) of the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act").

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 13, 2012, the Landlord personally served the Tenants with a Notice of Direct Request Proceeding. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenants have been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding Documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the Landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

### Background and Evidence

The Landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the Parties on August 19, 1999, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,236.00 due on the first day of the month;

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on May 2, 2012 with a stated effective vacancy date of May 2, 2012, for \$1,236.00 in unpaid rent; and
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice to End Tenancy showing that the Landlord served the Notice to End tenancy to the Tenant on May 2, 2012 by posting the Notice on the Tenant's door.

The Notice states that the Tenant had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end from the service date. The Tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

#### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenant has been served with the Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the Landlord. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent in the amount of \$1,236.00.

#### Conclusion

**I grant** an Order of Possession to the Landlord effective **two days after service** on the Tenant. Should the Tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

I grant the Landlord an order under Section 67 of the *Act* for the amount of **\$1,236.00**. If necessary, this order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 18, 2012.

---

Residential Tenancy Branch