



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on May 31, 2012, the landlord sent the tenants the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Tracking Number and the Customer Receipt to confirm this mailing. The landlord also submitted a signed Proof of Service which declares that on May 31, 2012, the landlord handed the female tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the female tenant was duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on May 31, 2012, and pursuant to sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, the male tenant was deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on June 5, 2012.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding served to the tenants;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,615.00 due on the 1st day of the month; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) handed to the male tenant on May 10, 2012 with a stated effective vacancy date of May 20, 2012, for \$1,630.00 in unpaid rent.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenants failed to pay all outstanding rent was served by handing the male tenant the 10 Day Notice on May 10, 2012. The male tenant signed a Proof of Service document confirming that the landlord's RG handed him the 10 Day Notice at 7:25 p.m. on May 10, 2012.

The Notice states that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

#### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*. I also accept that the tenants paid \$300.00 to the landlords on May 15, 2012.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the May 20, 2012 effective date of the 10 Day Notice.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary Order of \$1,315.00 for unpaid rent owing from May 2012.

#### Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession to take effect within 2 days of the landlord's service of this notice to the tenant(s). Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary Order in the amount of \$1,315.00 for rent owed for May 2012. The landlord is provided with these Orders in the above terms and the tenant(s) must be served with a copy of these Orders as soon as possible. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with these Orders, these Orders may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as Orders of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 06, 2012

---

Residential Tenancy Branch