

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> Landlords: MNDC, FF

Tenant: MNDC, O

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the cross Applications for Dispute Resolution with both parties seeking a monetary order.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by one of the named landlords in both Applications.

As this hearing dealt with Applications from both parties, I find that the tenant was sufficiently aware of this hearing. The named landlord who attended testified the tenant rented the rental unit from the other named landlord who in turn had rented the residential property from the party who provided testimony.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to a monetary order for damage to the residential property and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Sections 37, 67, and 72 of the Residential Tenancy Act (Act).

It must also be decided if the tenant is entitled to a monetary order for the return of rent;, pursuant to Sections 44, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Conclusion

As the tenant did not attend this hearing, I dismiss his Application in its entirety. Further as both parties named as landlords in both Applications are not the landlord for this tenant; I dismiss the landlord's Application in its entirety.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 31, 2012.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch