

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, FF

Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the Landlord pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “Act”) for Orders as follows:

1. An Order of Possession - Section 48;
2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent - Section 60; and
3. An Order to recover the filing fee for this application - Section 65.

I accept the Landlord’s evidence that the Tenant was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail in accordance with Section 89 of the Act. The Tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing. The Landlord was given full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the notice to end tenancy valid?

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the Landlord entitled to the monetary amounts claimed?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began in 2009. Rent in the amount of \$250.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. The Tenant failed to pay rent for the months of February, March, April, May and June 2012 and on June 4, 2012 the Landlord served the Tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent (the “Notice”) by registered mail. The Tenant failed to pay rent for July 2012 and has not filed an Application for Dispute Resolution to dispute the Notice. The Landlord claims \$1,500.00 in unpaid rent.

Analysis

Section 39 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If the tenant does neither of these two things, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Based on the Landlord's evidence I find that the Tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent and I find the notice to be valid. The Tenant has not filed an application to dispute the notice and has not paid the outstanding rent. Given these facts, I find that the Landlord is entitled to an **Order of Possession**. I also find that the Landlord has established a monetary claim for **\$1,500.00** in unpaid rent. The Landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee for a total monetary amount of **\$1,550.00**.

Conclusion

I **grant** an Order of Possession to the Landlord. The Tenant must be served with this **Order of Possession**. Should the Tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court. I **grant** the Landlord an order under Section 67 of the Act for **\$1,550.00**. If necessary, this order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court. This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 16, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch