



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of direct request proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an application for dispute resolution by the landlord for an order of possession for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed proof of service of the notice of direct request proceeding which declares that the landlord served tenant GA with the notice of direct request proceeding personally on July 19, 2012 at 9:18 a.m. at the rental unit address. Tenant KA was served via registered mail on July 20, 2012. Section 90 of the *Act* determines that a document served by registered mail is deemed to have been served five days later.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants have been duly served with the direct request proceeding documents.

Issue to be Decided

- Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the proof of service of the notice of direct proceeding for the tenants;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on December 20, 2011, indicating a monthly rent of \$750.00 due on the first day of the month; and
- A copy of a 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent which was issued on July 5, 2012, with a stated effective date of July 15, 2012, for \$925.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenants had failed to pay the rent owed and was served the 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent by posting to the door on July 5, 2012. Section 90 of the *Act* deems the tenants were served three days later on July 8, 2012, which would correct the above-mentioned effective date to July 18, 2012.

The notice states that the tenants had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for dispute resolution or the tenancy would end 10 days from the service date. The tenants did not apply to dispute the notice to end tenancy within five days from the date of service.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the notice. Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent.

Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days after service** on the tenants and this order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that court.

This decision is final and binding on the parties, unless otherwise provided under the *Act*, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 25, 2012

Residential Tenancy Branch