



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes:

MNSD and FF

Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to an Application for Dispute Resolution, in which the Tenant applied for the return of the security deposit and to recover the filing fee from the Landlord for the cost of filing this application.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issue to be decided is whether the Tenant is entitled to the return of the security deposit paid in relation to this tenancy and to recover the cost of filing this Application for Dispute Resolution.

Background and Evidence

The Landlord attended the hearing but the Tenant did not attend the hearing.

Analysis

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

This hearing commenced at the scheduled start time of 9:31 a.m. and the teleconference was monitored until 9:42 a.m. The Applicant did not dial into the teleconference during this time.

Conclusion

I find that the Tenant failed to diligently pursue the application and I therefore dismiss the application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 28, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch