

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession due to unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 22, 2012 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by posting it to the tenants door. Section 90 of the Residential Tenancy Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the third day after was posted.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding for the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which has a different name for the tenant then the tenant named on the application. The tenancy agreement states the tenancy started on October 01, 2010 for the monthly economic rent of \$845 of which the tenant pays a portion of \$423.00 due on the 1st of the month; and

 A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, June 05, 2012 with an effective vacancy date of June 15, 2012 due to \$423.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant had failed to pay the rent owed for the month of June, 2012 and that the tenant was served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was posted on the door of the tenants rental unit on June 05, 2012 and therefore is deemed served three days later.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. However, the landlord has filed this application under a tenant's name that is different to the tenants name documented on the tenancy agreement and as documented with the proof of service of the 10 Day Notice. Even if this is the same tenant if the landlord has missed part of the tenants name on the application then any Orders would not be enforceable.

Conclusion

The landlord's application is dismissed with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 05, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch