

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DIRECT REQUEST DECISION**

Dispute Codes: OPR, MNR

## Introduction

The Hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order for rental arrears based on a Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent .

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 16, 2012, the landlord served each tenant with the Notice of Direct Request by registered mail. Registered mail is deemed to be served in five days.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find the tenant has been duly served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

# Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary Order for rental arrears pursuant to 55 and 67of the *Residential Tenancy Act (the Act)*. I have reviewed all documentary evidence.

## Proof of Service of 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy

The landlord submitted a copy of the Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent and a "Proof of Service" form stating that the Notice was served to the tenant by posting it on the door on July 4, 2012 at 9:00 p.m. in front of a witness.

The purpose of serving documents under the *Act* is to notify the person of a failure to comply with the Act and of their rights in response. The landlord, seeking to end the tenancy has the burden of proving that the tenant was served with the Notice to End Tenancy and I find that the landlord has met this burden.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

Submitted into evidence was two pages of a tenancy agreement that contained 25 terms but appeared to be missing pages, including "Schedule A", referenced at paragraph 1 and the final page containing each party's dated signature.

Page: 2

This was an application to proceed by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 74(2)(b) of the Act. The Fact Sheet containing directions and the requirements to apply for a resolution under this section indicates that the following mandatory documentation must accompany the Application:

- Copy of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy
- Copy of the Tenancy Agreement
- Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy

I find that this application did not include a copy of a compliant tenancy agreement as described in section 13 of the Act. Section 13 sets out the mandatory terms that must be included within the tenancy agreement. The agreement must be signed and dated by both the landlord and the tenant. Consequently I find that this matter may not proceed by way of direct proceeding. It is therefore necessary to dismiss this application, and I do so granting the landlord leave to reapply.

The landlord is at liberty to make an application to pursue this matter through a regular teleconference hearing that would permit verbal testimony to be given regarding the specific terms of the tenancy agreement between the parties.

## **Conclusion**

I hereby dismiss the landlord's application with leave to reapply for a participatory hearing in which testimony can be given with respect to the tenancy agreement.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: July 24, 2012.	
•	
	Residential Tenancy Branch