



Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR CORRECTION

Dispute Codes: MNDC MNR OPB OPC OPR

The Landlord has requested a correction to a decision of the Residential Tenancy Branch dated July 3, 2012

Section 78 of Residential Tenancy Act enables the Residential Tenancy Branch to:

- correct typographic, grammatical, arithmetic or other similar errors in a decision or order, or
- deal with an obvious error or inadvertent omission in a decision or order.

The Landlord requests a correction due to an inadvertent omission. The Landlord submits that

- a claim in relation to firewood was not addressed;
- the Landlord did not withdraw the claim for unpaid pet and security deposit;
- there is no explanation for the calculation of the amount of compensation; and
- the claim for \$350.00 was not addressed for rental monies owed.

I find that the evidence does not support the request as the evidence argues for different findings and not for an obvious error or inadvertent omission. No evidence was provided in relation to firewood. The claim for unpaid pet and security deposit was withdrawn. The claim for rental monies was addressed. The issue in relation to the calculation for the monetary entitlement is addressed below under the Request for Clarification.

The original decision and order stand.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION

The applicant has requested a clarification of the Residential Tenancy Branch review decision dated July 3, 2012



Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

Section 78 of Residential Tenancy Act enables the Residential Tenancy Branch to clarify a decision or order.

The applicant requests clarification on why the claim for rental monies was dismissed and how the calculations were made to arrive at the amount of \$1,000.00 compensation awarded to the Tenants. No other information was submitted to support the request for clarification.

I find that the evidence in relation to the dismissal of the claim for rental monies does not require clarification as it is fully addressed on page five, paragraph three of the Decision. I find that the evidence in relation to the request for a clarification of the \$1,000.00 compensation awarded to the Tenants is valid as this clarification was, by omission, not provided in the decision. I therefore clarify the decision to indicate that the amount of compensation awarded to the Tenant was based on a portion of the total amount claimed by the Tenant and on rent paid for the period of approximately six weeks from May to June 12, 2012 during which the Landlord was found to have breached the Tenants' quiet enjoyment and during which the Landlord terminated the Tenants' power and water.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: August 1, 2012

Residential Tenancy Branch