



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution seeking an order of possession and a monetary order.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by two agents for the landlord.

The landlord testified the tenant was served with the notice of hearing documents and this Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Section 52(3) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act (Act)* by registered mail on July 20, 2012 in accordance with Section 82. As per Section 83, the documents are deemed received by the tenant on the 5th day after it was mailed.

Based on the testimony of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been sufficiently served with the documents pursuant to the *Act*.

At the outset of the hearing I confirmed with the landlord that there is only one of the named respondents on their Application for Dispute Resolution as a signatory on the tenancy agreement as such, I amend the Application to reflect the only party listed as a tenant on the tenancy agreement who has signed the tenancy agreement.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary order for unpaid rent; and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Sections 39, 48, 60, and 65 of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following documentary evidence:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on July 13, 2006 for a month to month tenancy beginning on August 1, 2006 for a current monthly rent of \$371.61 and utilities of \$56.75 due on the 1st of each month;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was issued on July 5, 2012 with an effective vacancy date of July 15, 2012 due to \$1,533.16 in unpaid rent and \$227.00 in unpaid utilities; and
- A copy of portion of a tenant ledger confirming rent amounts due and payments made during the course of a portion of the tenancy.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates the tenant failed to pay the full rent owed for several months over the course of this tenancy and that the tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent personally on July 10, 2012 at 6:40 p.m. and that this service was witnessed by a third party.

The Notice states the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not pay the rent in full or apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on July 10, 2012 and the effective date of the notice is amended to June 20, 2012, pursuant to Section 53 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under Section 39(4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find the tenant is conclusively presumed under Section 39(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Conclusion

I find the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and be enforced as an order of that Court.

I find the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to Section 67 and I grant a monetary order in the amount of **\$2,263.52** comprised of \$2,213.52 rent, utility and late service charges owed and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application.

This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and be enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 14, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch