

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

### **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR

#### Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to Section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order due to unpaid rent. A participatory hearing was not convened.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on September 19, 2012 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90 of the *Act* states a document sent by mail is deemed served on the 5<sup>th</sup> day after it is mailed.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been sufficiently served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents pursuant to the *Act*.

The landlord's agent also submitted a letter seeking to amend this Application for Dispute Resolution to change the name as the landlord due to an error on her part the landlord's agent listed the wrong name as landlord on the Application.

As this matter is requested through the Direct Request process there is no opportunity for the tenant to be advised of an amendment to an Application, as the process is conducted *ex parte*, as such I find it is not appropriate to make an amendment. As it appears the named landlord on the Application for Dispute Resolution is not a party to this matter, I find it is inappropriate to adjudicate this Application through the Direct Request process.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary order for unpaid rent, pursuant to Sections 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Page: 2

## Conclusion

For the reasons noted above, I dismiss the landlord's Application with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 24, 2012.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch