

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MND MNR MNSD MNDC FF

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlords to obtain a Monetary Order for damage to the unit, site or property, for unpaid rent or utilities, to keep all or part of the pet and or security deposit, for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation, or tenancy agreement, and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Tenants for this application.

The Tenant appeared at the teleconference hearing however no one appeared on behalf of the Landlord despite this hearing being convened to hear matters pertaining to the Landlord's application.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

1. Should the Landlord be granted a Monetary Order?

#### Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided in support of the Landlord's claim as no one attended on behalf of the Landlord.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

In the absence of the applicant Landlord, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of the applicant Landlord called into the hearing during this time.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

Page: 2

**10.1 Commencement of the hearing** The hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

Accordingly, in the absence of any submissions from the applicant I order the application dismissed without liberty to reapply.

## Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the landlord's application, without leave to reapply.

This dismissal does not extend any applicable time limits set out under the Act.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 06, 2012.	
Dated. September 00, 2012.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch