



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes:

MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with applications by the landlord and the tenant, pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act*. The landlord applied to retain the security deposit towards the cost of repairing and painting the walls and the tenant applied for the return of double the security deposit. Both parties applied for the recovery of the filing fee.

Both parties attended the hearing and were given full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions.

Issues to be decided

Has the landlord established a claim for the cost of repairs? Is the landlord entitled to keep the security deposit or has the tenant established a claim for its return?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy started on December 01, 2010 and ended on May 31, 2012. On June 30, 2012, the tenant gave the landlord her forwarding address in writing. The landlord filed this application on July 13, 2012 which is within the legislated time of 15 days. The rent was \$1,050.00 and the tenant paid a security deposit in the amount of \$525.00.

The claims made by both parties against each other were discussed at length. During the hearing the parties engaged in a conversation, turned their minds to compromise and achieved a resolution of their dispute.

Analysis

Pursuant to Section 63 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*, the dispute resolution officer may assist the parties settle their dispute and if the parties settle their dispute during the hearing, the settlement may be recorded in the form of a decision or an order. During this hearing, the parties reached an agreement to settle these matters, on the following conditions:

1. The landlord agreed to pay the tenant \$262.50 within 15 days of the receipt of this decision, in full settlement of all claims against the tenant.
2. The tenant agreed to accept \$262.50 in full settlement of her claim against the landlord. A monetary order will be issued in favour of the tenant for this amount.
3. Both parties stated that they understood and agreed that the above particulars comprise **full and final settlement** of all aspects of the dispute for both parties.

Conclusion

Pursuant to the above agreement, I grant the tenant a monetary order under section 67 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* for the amount of **\$262.50**. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

As this dispute was resolved by mutual agreement and not based on the merits of the case, the parties must bear the cost of filing their own applications.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 25, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch