



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR

### Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlords for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 12, 2012 the Landlords served the Tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90(a) of the Residential Tenancy Act deems a document delivered in that manner to have been received (or served) on the fifth day after it was sent.

Based on the evidence and written submissions of the Landlords, I find that the Tenants were served as required by s. 89 of the Act with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46, 55 and 67 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

### Background and Evidence

The Landlords submitted the following documentary evidence:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenants;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on September 6 and 14, 2012 respectively for a fixed term tenancy beginning September 15, 2012 and expiring on March 31, 2012 for the monthly rent of \$975.00 due in advance on the 1st day of each month; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on October 2, 2012 with an effective vacancy date of October 13, 2012 due to \$975.00 in unpaid rent.

The evidence filed by the Landlords indicates that the Tenants failed to pay the rent owed for the month of October, 2012 and that the Tenant, K.H., was served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities dated October 2, 2012 in person on October 2, 2012. The Notice states that the Tenants had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The Tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all of the documentary evidence and accept that the Tenants have been served with the Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the Landlords. The Notice was received by the Tenants on October 2, 2012 and the effective date of the Notice is October 13, 2012.

I accept the evidence before me that the Tenants have failed to pay the rent owed for October 2012 in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*. Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

### Conclusion

I find pursuant to s. 55(2)(b) of the *Act* that the Landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the Tenants**. This Order must be served on the Tenants and may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I also find that the Landlords are entitled to a Monetary Order in the amount of \$975.00 representing the unpaid rent for October 2012. This Order must also be served on the Tenants and may be enforced in the Provincial (Small Claims) Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 18, 2012.

---

Residential Tenancy Branch