

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes: MND, MNDC, MNSD, FF / MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing concerns 2 applications: i) by the landlord for a monetary order as compensation for damage to the unit, site or property / compensation for damage or loss under the Act, Regulation or tenancy agreement / retention of the security deposit / and recovery of the filing fee; and ii) by the tenant for a monetary order as compensation for the double return of the security deposit / and recovery of the filing fee.

Both parties attended the hearing and gave affirmed testimony.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Whether either party is entitled to any of the above under the Act, Regulation or tenancy agreement.

Background and Evidence

Tenancy originally began in August 2010. Following the end of the initial term of tenancy, evidence includes a copy of the tenancy agreement entered into by the parties for a 12 month fixed term from August 1, 2011 to July 31, 2012. Pursuant to the tenancy agreement, monthly rent of \$3,250.00 is due and payable in advance on the first day of each month, and a security deposit of \$3,250.00 was collected. A move-in condition inspection report was completed with the participation of both parties.

Nearing the end of the fixed term, tenancy was extended by 1 month for the period from August 1 to August 31, 2012. While a move-out condition inspection report was signed by both parties on or about August 26, 2012, it was signed outside of the unit on the grounds of the property. The parties did not do a walk-through of the unit together at the time when they signed the move-out condition inspection report, and discussion focused on damage which both agreed had been sustained by a sink in the bathroom.

During the hearing the parties exchanged views on the circumstances surrounding various aspects of the dispute, and undertook to achieve at least some resolution.

<u>Analysis</u>

The full text of the Act, Regulation, Residential Tenancy Policy Guidelines, Fact Sheets, forms and more can be accessed via the website: <u>www.rto.gov.bc.ca</u>

Section 63 of the Act speaks to the **Opportunity to settle dispute**. Pursuant to this provision, discussion between the parties during the hearing led to a partial resolution. Specifically, it was agreed as follows:

RECORD OF SETTLEMENT

- that the tenant will pay the landlord <u>\$50.00</u> for cleaning required in the unit;
- that the tenant will pay the landlord <u>\$97.00</u> for miscellaneous repairs required in the unit;
- that the tenant will pay the landlord <u>\$44.80</u> for a final lawn cut.

Sub-total of landlord's entitlement agreed to: **<u>\$191.80</u>**.

Based on the documentary evidence and testimony, the various remaining aspects of the respective claims and my findings around each are set out below.

<u>TENANT</u>:

<u>\$6,500.00</u>: <u>double return of the security deposit (2 x \$3,250.00</u>). Section 38 of the Act addresses **Return of security deposit and pet damage deposit**. In part, this section provides that within 15 days of the later of the date the tenancy ends, and the date the landlord receives the tenant's forwarding address in writing, the landlord must either repay the security deposit or file an application for dispute resolution. If the landlord does neither, section 38(6) of the Act provides that the landlord may not make a claim against the security deposit and must pay the tenant double the amount of the security deposit.</u>

In the circumstances of this dispute, I find that the tenancy ended August 31, 2012. While the landlord repaid only a portion of the tenant's security deposit by cheque dated August 31, 2012, which was delivered by courier on September 4, 2012, the landlord also filed an application for dispute resolution on September 12, 2012, which includes a claim on the security deposit. I find that as the landlord filed an application for dispute resolution within 15 days after the end of tenancy, the tenant's application for the double return of the security deposit must be dismissed.

<u>\$100.00</u>: <u>filing fee</u>. As the tenant has only partially succeeded with this application, I find that he has established entitlement to recovery of a portion of the filing fee limited to <u>\$50.00</u>, which is half the amount claimed.

LANDLORD:

<u>\$1,230.00</u>: <u>vanity / sink replacement (labour + materials)</u>. During the hearing the tenant acknowledged responsibility for damage to 1 of what were 2 matching sinks. Total costs incurred by the landlord for materials reflect the need to replace not only the damaged sink, but the sink located adjacent to the one damaged. It is understood the sinks were sufficiently old, that replacing only the damaged sink, while at the same time maintaining the match between the 2 sinks, was not possible. In the result, 2 new sinks and 2 new matching faucets were purchased.

Section 32 of the Act addresses Landlord and tenant obligations to repair and maintain, and provides in part:

32(3) A tenant of a rental unit must repair damage to the rental unit or common areas that is caused by the actions or neglect of the tenant or a person permitted on the residential property by the tenant.

While noting the landlord's concern to maintain the match between the 2 sinks and faucets, I also note that the age of the sinks / faucets was such that replacing only 1 was not possible. I find that the tenant ought not to bear the full responsibility of replacing both older sinks / faucets with brand new sinks / faucets. Accordingly, I find that the landlord has established entitlement to compensation in the limited amount of **\$615.00**.

\$560.00: removal of dead plants back / front yard.

<u>\$700.00</u>: previous lawn care – deweeding.

<u>\$1,400.00</u>: <u>lawn rebuild – front and back</u>. As the cost claimed arises from a quote, and as no expense has presently yet been incurred because of reasons related to the season of the year, this aspect of the claim is hereby dismissed with leave to reapply.

<u>\$50.00: filing fee</u>. As the landlord has partially succeeded with this application, I find that the landlord has established entitlement to recovery of a portion of the filing fee limited to \$25.00, which is half the amount claimed.

Conclusion

TEXT

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 30, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch