

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

# **Dispute Codes**

OPR, MNR

### Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on January 10, 2013 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail sent to the rental unit. The landlord provided a Canada Post receipt and tracking number as evidence of service. Section 90 of the Act determines that the documents are deemed to be received five days later.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

# Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and monetary compensation for unpaid rent?

# Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted copies of the following evidentiary material:

- A Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request, including the registered mail receipt;
- A residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on September 30, 2012, indicating a monthly rent of \$650.00 due on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of every month;
- A 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on January 2, 2013 with a stated effective vacancy date of January 12, 2013, for \$650.00 in unpaid rent as of January 1, 2013; and,

Page: 2

 A Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice indicating the landlord personally served the 10 Day Notice to the tenant on January 2, 2013 as evidenced by the tenant's signature.

The 10 Day Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

#### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full or dispute the Notice within 5 days of receiving the Notice as permitted under section 46(4) of the Act. Accordingly, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Therefore, I find that the tenancy ended January 12, 2013 and the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two (2) days after service upon the tenant.

Based upon the evidence before me, I find the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent in the amount of \$650.00 for the month of January 2013. The landlord is provided a Monetary Order for this amount to serve upon the tenant.

The security deposit remains in trust to be administered in accordance with the Act.

#### Conclusion

The tenancy has ended and the landlord is provided an Order of Possession effective two (2) days after service upon the tenant. The landlord is provided a Monetary Order in the amount of \$650.00 to serve upon the tenant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: January 15, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch