

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD

Introduction

Some documentary evidence and written arguments has been submitted prior to the hearing. I have thoroughly reviewed all submissions.

I also gave the applicant the opportunity to testify at the hearing.

The applicant testified that the respondent(s) were served with notice of the hearing by personal service on November 1, 2012, however the respondent(s) did not join the conference call that was set up for the hearing.

All testimony was taken under affirmation.

Issue(s) to be Decided

This is a request for a monetary order for \$500.00.

Background and Evidence

The applicant testified that:

- He paid a security deposit at the beginning of the tenancy; however he doesn't remember when security deposit was paid.
- He believes the security deposit was \$225.00; however he has no receipt as the receipt got wet.
- He is asking for an order for return of double the security deposit.

<u>Analysis</u>

It is my finding that the applicant is not met the burden of proving that he paid a security deposit. The applicant was vague as to the amount of the security deposit and could not even tell me when he paid a security deposit.

On the application for dispute resolution he states that he paid \$250.00 security deposit, however during the hearing he stated he believed that he paid a \$225.00 security deposit.

Further he stated that he paid a security deposit at the beginning of the tenancy, however he could not even remember when the tenancy began.

There is insufficient evidence for me to make a finding that a security deposit has been paid.

Conclusion

This application is dismissed in full without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 29, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch