

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Ian Angus Holdings Ltd and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes O

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's Application for Dispute Resolution. The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the tenant and the landlord. The hearing was originally convened on January 21, 2013 but as a result of incorrect instruction the tenant failed to serve some digital evidence and as such the hearing was adjourned for the tenant to serve this evidence and allow the landlord to provide any responses he may wish once he received the tenant's digital evidence.

At the outset of the 2nd hearing I asked the tenant to clarify what he had applied for as the only indication on his Application for Dispute Resolution was "Other"; in the documentation submitted by the evidence there was no clear indication of any remedy sought by the tenant; or any specifics as to the reason for his Application. The tenant submitted that he felt the landlord was trying to get him to leave the manufactured home park and that he wanted the landlord to pay to move his manufactured home. The landlord confirmed that he had not issued any notices to end tenancy.

Conclusion

As the tenant has not identified that the landlord has committed any violations of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, regulation or tenancy agreement and since there are no current notices to end tenancy, and the tenant has not identified any remedy sought in his Application, I dismiss the Application as it does not disclose a dispute that may be determined under the Act, in accordance with Section 62 (4)(b).

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 18, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch