



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding 0697418 B.C. LTD.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR CORRECTION

Dispute Codes: CNC MNDC

The applicant has requested a correction to a decision of the Residential Tenancy Branch dated March 15, 2013.

Section 78 of Residential Tenancy Act enables the Residential Tenancy Branch to:

- correct typographic, grammatical, arithmetic or other similar errors in a decision or order, or
- deal with an obvious error or inadvertent omission in a decision or order.

The applicant/tenant requests that the date of the hearing as noted on the Decision be changed from March 11, 2013 (the date of the Decision) to March 7, 2013 the date of the hearing. The tenant also requests that the figure \$398.07 be changed to the correct figure of \$396.07. I have considered these requests and found them to have merit. These changes have therefore been made.

The tenant made further requests for correction to details contained in the Decision. The Decision which is the subject of this request was made in response to an application made by the tenant who was seeking to cancel a Notice to End Tenancy given for unpaid rent and \$100.00 in compensation for damage or loss. The Notice to End Tenancy was cancelled by the landlord before the hearing therefore no decision was made in this regard. The tenant's request for \$100.00 in compensation was dismissed.

However, although not part of the tenant's original application, the parties requested that the Arbitrator make a determination as to when a rent increase should come into

effect. This would require a determination when the tenant had notice of the increase. With the consent of the parties, the Arbitrator agreed to make this determination.

Based on the evidence of the parties that the subject Notice of Rent Increase was discussed in a previous hearing held on January 30, 2013 and was in fact noted in the Decision that resulted from that hearing, and that the tenant was fully aware of the amount of the increase because he subsequently paid the increase, the Arbitrator deemed notice of the rent increase to have been received by the tenant on January 30, 2013.

The tenant now wishes to have the Arbitrator rewrite the background set out in her Decision and/or include information that had/has no probative value in making this determination.

Save for the two corrections set out above, these balance of the tenant's requests are denied as they are unnecessary and would not change the final determination.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 27, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch