



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Wall Financial Corporation  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR and MNR

### Introduction

This hearing was conducted as a Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that the landlord served the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding in person on March 22, 2013.

Based on the written submission of the landlord, I find that the tenants have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for the unpaid rent.

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenants;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on April 10, 2010 at a monthly rent of \$995, increased to \$1,015 on January 1, 2012, and indicating a security deposit of \$497.50 was paid at the beginning of the tenancy;

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent which was served by posting on the tenants' door on March 2, 2013.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates the tenants failed to pay the \$1,015 rent that was due on March 1, 2013.

The Notice to End Tenancy states that the tenants had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

The landlord had requested a monetary claim in this application for the unpaid rent of \$1,015 for March 2013.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants were served with Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice which was March 15, 2013 taking into account three days for deemed service of notice service by posting.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession based on the Notice to End Tenancy of March 2, 2013.

I further find that the landlord is entitled to a Monetary Order for the unpaid rent of \$1,015 for March 2013.

### Conclusion

The landlords' copy of this Decision is accompanied by an Order of Possession effective **two days after service** on the tenants. The Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

The landlord is also provided with a Monetary Order for \$1,015 for the unpaid rent for service on the tenants. This Order is enforceable through the Provincial Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 03, 2013

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

