

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Confide Enterprises Ltd. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR MNR MNSD MNDC FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim. The landlord and one tenant participated in the teleconference hearing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began in September 2012. Rent in the amount of \$650 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy, the landlord collected a security deposit from the tenants in the amount of \$325. The tenants failed to pay rent in the months of January, February and March 2013 and on March 7, 2013 the landlord served the tenants with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenants paid \$650 of the outstanding rent in March 2013 but they then failed to pay rent in the month of April 2013. The tenant did not dispute these facts.

Analysis

Based on the landlord's testimony I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and has not applied for dispute resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

Page: 2

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$1950 in unpaid rent. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50 filing fee for the cost of their application.

Conclusion

I grant the landlord an order of possession effective two days from service. The tenants must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenants fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

The landlord is entitled to \$2000. I order that the landlord retain the security deposit of \$325 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$1675. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: April 16, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch