



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Metro Vancouver Housing Corporation
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR & MNR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order due to unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on April 12, 2013 the landlord served the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. Section 90 of the Residential Tenancy Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after it was sent.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants have been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding for the tenants;

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on August 15, 2006 for a tenancy beginning September 01, 2006 for the monthly rent of \$705.00 due on the 1st of the month; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, March 05, 2013 with an effective vacancy date of March 15, 2013 due to \$1275.00 in unpaid rent.
- Copies of rent increase notices for each year showing the rent as of 2013 to be \$850.00 per month.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenants had failed to pay the full rent owed for the month of March and April, 2013 and that the tenants were served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by hand on April 05, 2013.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

Since that time the tenants paid \$850.00 on April 15, 2013 this was accepted by the landlord for use and occupancy only. The landlord amends there monetary claim to \$425.00.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenants on April 05, 2013 and the effective date of the notice is amended to April 15, 2013 pursuant to section 53 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the amended effective date of the Notice.

Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55 effective **two days after service on the tenants**. This order must be served on the tenants and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to section 67 in the amount of **\$425.00** for rent owed. This order must be served on the tenants and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 18, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch

