



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Amacon Property Management Services  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNSD

### Introduction

Some documentary evidence and written arguments has been submitted prior to the hearing. I have thoroughly reviewed all submissions.

I also gave the applicant the opportunity to testify at the hearing.

The applicant testified that the respondent(s) were served with notice of the hearing by personal service on March 7, 2013; however the respondent(s) did not join the conference call that was set up for the hearing.

All testimony was taken under affirmation.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

This is an application for an order for return of double the \$475.00 security deposit for a total order of \$950.00.

Decision and reasons

Due to a misunderstanding that resulted from the fact that the applicant's native language is not English, the applicant has submitted evidence relating to his new rental unit rather than the dispute unit.

Conclusion

I dismiss this application with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 28, 2013

---

Residential Tenancy Branch