

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes: CNR

<u>Introduction</u>

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of both parties. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

Both parties were given a full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions. Neither party requested an adjournment or a Summons to Testify. Prior to concluding the hearing both parties acknowledged they had presented all of the relevant evidence that they wished to present.

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was personally served on the landlord on May 3, 2013. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

<u>Issues to be Decided</u>

The issues to be decided are as follows:

a. Whether the tenant is entitled to an order cancelling the Notice to End Tenancy dated May 1, 2013?

Background and Evidence:

The tenancy began on February 1, 2012. The tenancy agreement provided that the tenant(s) would pay rent of \$450 per month payable on the first day of each month. The tenant(s) paid a security deposit of \$225 to the previous owner.

Page: 2

The rental property was sold and there was confusion relating to the payment of rent.

This confusion has been cleared up. The tenant has paid the arrears and the landlord

has agreed to reinstated the tenancy

Determination and Orders

As a result I ordered that the Notice to End Tenancy dated May 1, 2013 be

cancelled. The tenancy shall continue with the rights and obligations of the

parties remaining unchanged.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: May 28, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch