



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Wall Financial Corporation
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order. The hearing was conducted by conference call. The landlord's representatives called in and participated in the hearing. The tenants did not appear although they were personally served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing on April 17, 2013.

Issues

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession?
Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?

Background and Evidence

This tenancy began on October 1, 2012. The rent is \$660.00 due in advance on the first day of each month. The tenants paid a security deposit of \$355.00 on September 27, 2012. The tenant did not pay the rent for April when it was due. On April 5, 2013 the landlord's agent personally served the tenant with a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenants a portion of April's rent, leaving \$200.00 outstanding, including a \$20.00 late fee. The tenants have not paid rent for May and they did not file an application to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy.

Analysis

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent the tenants must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If, as in the present case, the tenants do neither of these two things, they are conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Conclusion

Order of Possession - Based on the above background, evidence and analysis I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective two days after service on the tenants. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

Monetary Order and Security Deposit - I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$200.00 for April and \$660.00 for May. The landlord is entitled to a \$20.00 late fee for May as well as the \$50.00 filing fee for this application for a total award of \$930.00 and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 in the said amount. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 16, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch

