

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on May 31, 2013 the landlord served two of the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via personal delivery at the rental unit. The landlord provided a signed witness statement attesting to service upon the tenants.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the two tenants been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents. Proof of service of the Direct Request Proceeding upon a third tenant was not provided and I have excluded that tenant.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and monetary compensation for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request for two tenants, including the witness statements;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on April 29 and April 30, 2013, indicating a tenancy set to commence April 30, 2013 and a monthly rent of \$1,200.00 due on the 1st day of every month;

Page: 2

 A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on May 20, 2013 with a stated effective vacancy date of May 30, 2013, for \$700.00 in unpaid rent as of May 1, 2013; and,

 A copy of a Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice indicating the landlord posted the 10 Day Notice on the tenants' door on May 20, 2013 in the presence of a witness.

The 10 Day Notice states that the tenants had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

In the details of dispute the landlord states that the tenants failed to pay the balance of rent owed for May 2013.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants have been served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the landlord. Since the Notice was posted on the door it is deemed to be received three days later in accordance with section 90 of the Act. The effective date is also automatically changed to read June 2, 2013 in accordance with section 53 of the Act.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants failed to pay the rent owed in full or dispute the Notice within 5 days of receiving the Notice as permitted under section 46(4) of the Act. Accordingly, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Therefore, I find that the tenancy ended June 2, 2013 and the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two (2) days after service upon the tenants.

I find the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent in the amount of \$700.00 for the month of May 2013. The landlord is provided a Monetary Order for this amount to serve upon the tenants. The Monetary Order may be filed in Provincial Court (Small Claims) to enforce as an Order of that court.

Conclusion

The tenancy has ended and the landlord is provided an Order of Possession effective two (2) days after service upon the tenants. The landlord is provided a Monetary Order in the amount of \$700.00 to serve upon the tenants.

This de	cision	is mad	e on	authorit	y de	legated	to me	by the	Directo	or of the	Residentia	ıl
Tenanc	y Brar	nch und	ler Se	ection 9	1(1)	of the	Reside	ential 7	- enancy	Act.		

Dated: June 05, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch