



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding NPR Limited Partnership
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of direct request proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “*Act*”), and dealt with an application for dispute resolution by the landlord for an order of possession for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed proof of service of the notice of direct request proceeding which declares that on June 14, 2013, the landlord served the tenant with the notice of direct request proceeding via posting to the tenant’s door.

Section 90 of the *Act* determines that a document served in this manner is deemed to have been served three days later.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been duly served with the direct request proceeding documents as of June 17, 2013.

Issue to be Decided

- Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the proof of service of the notice of direct proceeding for the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on February 9, 2013, indicating a monthly rent of \$625.00 due on the first day of the month; and

- A copy of a 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent which was issued on June 4, 2013, with a stated effective vacancy date of June 17, 2013, for \$1,314.10 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant had failed to pay the rent owed and was served the 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent by posting to the tenant's door, which was witnessed by a third party, ST, on June 4, 2013. Section 90 of the *Act* deems the tenant was served three days later on June 7, 2013 and the effective vacancy date was June 17, 2013 which has passed.

The notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for dispute resolution or the tenancy would end 10 days from the service date. The tenant did not apply to dispute the notice to end tenancy within five days from the date of service.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, **I find** that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice, June 17, 2013. Therefore, **I find** that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent **effective two days after service** on the tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that court.

Conclusion

I grant the landlord an order of possession effective two days after service on the tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that court.

This decision is final and binding on the parties, unless otherwise provided under the *Act*, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 18, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch