

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Tenant to cancel a notice to end tenancy for cause.

The applicant Tenant did not appear at the scheduled hearing however the respondent Landlord appeared and his witness appeared.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- 1. Should the Tenant's application be dismissed?
- 2. Should the Landlord be granted an Order of Possession?

Background and Evidence

The Landlord testified that he served the Tenant the 1 Month Notice, in person, on May 1, 2013. He confirmed he had made a clerical error on the Notice as the date he signed it was May 1, 2013, not June 1, 2013. He was of the opinion that the effective date of the Notice would be, June 1, 2013, one month after he served it.

The Landlord requested that I grant him an order of possession in accordance with the 1 Month Notice.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

10.1 Commencement of the hearing The hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

In the absence of the applicant Tenant, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of the Tenant called into the hearing during this time. Based on the aforementioned I find that the Tenant has failed to present the merits of his application and the application is dismissed, without leave to reapply.

Section 55 of the Act provides that an Order of Possession **must** be provided to a Landlord if a Tenant's request to dispute a Notice to End Tenancy is dismissed and the Landlord makes an oral request for an Order of Possession during the scheduled hearing. Accordingly I award the Landlord an Order of Possession effective June 30, 2013, the corrected effective date of the Notice, pursuant to section 53 of the Act.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Tenant's application, without leave to reapply.

The Landlord has been issued an Order of Possession effective **June 30, 2013**. This Order is legally binding and must be served upon the Tenant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 06, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch