



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDC MNSD O

The hearing was first convened on May 16, 2013, pursuant to an application by the tenant for monetary compensation and recovery of the security deposit. An agent for the tenant and the landlord both participated in the teleconference hearing on that date. At that time, the landlord stated that he did not have the full particulars of the tenant's claim, as he did not receive one additional page of notes that the tenant had written on the back of the second page of the application. That additional page was also not included in the copy of the application before me. I adjourned the hearing and instructed the tenant to re-serve that page on both the landlord and the Residential Tenancy Branch.

The hearing reconvened on June 13, 2013. At that time, only the tenant's agent appeared in the teleconference hearing. The agent confirmed that she had not sent the additional page of the application to either the landlord or the Branch.

Under section 58(2)(b) of the Act, an application for dispute resolution must contain the full particulars of the dispute. I find in this case that the tenant's application did not contain the full particulars of the dispute, and I could not amend the application in the absence of the landlord.

The tenant's application is dismissed with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 13, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch