

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes:

CNR, OLC

Introduction

This hearing was scheduled in response an Application for Dispute Resolution, in which the Applicant has made application to set aside a Notice to End Tenancy and for an Order requiring the Landlord to comply with the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)* or the tenancy agreement.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Should the Notice to End Tenancy be set aside and is there a need for an Order requiring the Landlord to comply with the *Act* or the tenancy agreement?

Background and Evidence

The Applicant and Respondent agree that they share this single family dwelling; that they share the kitchen and other common areas in the rental unit; that the Applicant rents a bedroom in the unit; that the Respondent has a tenancy agreement for the residential complex with a third party; and that the Applicant does not have a tenancy agreement with the third party.

The Respondent says that he rents the premises to the Applicant and he believes he is the Applicant's Landlord. He stated that he is not acting on behalf of his Landlord when he rents this room to the Applicant.

Preliminary Issue

The Act defines a landlord as follows:

"landlord", in relation to a rental unit, includes any of the following: (a) the owner of the rental unit, the owner's agent or another person who, on behalf of the landlord,

(i) permits occupation of the rental unit under a tenancy agreement, or

(ii) exercises powers and performs duties under this Act, the tenancy agreement or a service agreement;

(b) the heirs, assigns, personal representatives and successors in title to a person referred to in paragraph (a);

(c) a person, other than a tenant occupying the rental unit, who

- (i) is entitled to possession of the rental unit, and
- (ii) exercises any of the rights of a landlord under a tenancy agreement or this Act in relation to the rental unit;
- (d) a former landlord, when the context requires this;

As there is no evidence to show that the Respondent is the owner of the rental unit, the owner's agent, or another person who is acting on behalf of the owner, I find that the Respondent is not a landlord as defined by section 1(a) of the *Act*.

As there is no evidence to show that the Respondent is an heir, assign, personal representative or successor in title to a person referred to in section 1(a) of the Act, I find that he is not a landlord as defined by section 1(b) of the *Act*.

As the undisputed evidence shows that the Respondent is a tenant who is occupying the rental unit, I find that he is not a landlord as defined by section 1(c) of the *Ac*t.

As there is no evidence to show that the Respondent is a former landlord of this rental property, I find that he is not a landlord as defined by section 1(d) of the *Act*.

In these circumstances the Applicant must be considered an occupant as defined in the *Residential Tenancy Policy Guideline Manual*, which stipulates that when a <u>tenant</u> allows a person who is not a tenant to move into the premises and share the rent, the new occupant has no rights or obligations under the tenancy agreement, unless all parties agree to enter into a tenancy agreement to include the occupant as a tenant.

I find that the legislation has contemplated this type of circumstance and in the absence of evidence of a joint tenancy, the *Act* does not apply. Therefore, I find that neither the Applicant nor the Respondent is governed by this *Act*.

Conclusion

As the *Act* does not apply to these parties, I find that I do not have jurisdiction in this matter and I dismiss the Application for Dispute Resolution. This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 20, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch