



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “*Act*”), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary Order.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 10, 2013, an agent of the landlords handed the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the tenant. Based on the written submissions of the landlords, I find that the tenant has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding served to the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord(s) and the tenant on September 1, 2012, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,500.00 due on the 1st day of the month;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) handed to the tenant by the female landlord on June 9, 2013, with a stated effective vacancy date of June 19, 2013, for \$3,360.00 in unpaid rent; and
- A rent ledger for this tenancy showing the amounts owing, the amounts paid by the tenant and the monthly balance.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlords indicates that the tenant failed to pay all outstanding rent was served by handing the 10 Day Notice to the tenant at 1:00 p.m. on July 9, 2013. I find that the tenant was served with the 10 Day Notice in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

#### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlords. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*. Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, June 19, 2013.

Therefore, I find that the landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary Order of \$3,360.00 for unpaid rent owing as of June 1, 2013.

#### Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlords effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I find that the landlords are entitled to a monetary Order in the amount of \$3,360.00 for rent owed as of June 1, 2013. The landlords are provided with these Orders in the above terms and the tenant must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with these Orders, these Orders may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as Orders of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 12, 2013

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

