

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Kamloops Apartment Rentals Ltd. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

The landlord participated in the teleconference hearing, but the tenant did not call into the hearing. On June 24, 2013 the landlord served the tenants with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail. Section 90 of the Act states that a document is deemed to have been served five days after mailing. I find that the tenants are deemed served with notice of the hearing on June 29, 2013.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on October 9, 2012. Rent in the amount of \$850 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenants failed to pay rent in the month of June 2013 and on June 7, 2013 the landlord served the tenants with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenants did not pay the full outstanding rent for June 2013 until June 20, 2013. The tenants have further failed to pay \$350 of the rent for July 2013, as of today's date.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the landlord's testimony I find that the tenants were served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenants did not pay the full outstanding rent within five days of having been served with the notice to end tenancy and have not applied for dispute resolution to dispute the notice. The tenants are therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$350 in unpaid rent.

Conclusion

I grant the landlord an order of possession effective two days from service. The tenants must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenants fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$350. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 19, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch