



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Advanced Property Management Inc.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes Landlord: MNDC, MNR, MNSD, FF
Tenant: MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the cross Applications for Dispute Resolution with both parties seeking a monetary order.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the landlord's agent and the tenant's agent.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled for all or part of the security deposit for unpaid rent and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Sections 38, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

The issues to be decided are whether the tenant is entitled to return of the security deposit and to recover the filing fee from the landlord for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Sections 38, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

During the hearing the parties reached the following settlement:

1. The landlord withdraws their Application;
2. The tenant withdraws their Application;
3. The landlord will return \$200.00 of the security deposit;
4. The agreement settles all issues related to this tenancy.

Conclusion

In support of this settlement and with agreement of both parties, I grant the tenant a monetary order in the amount of **\$200.00**.

This order must be served on the landlord. If the landlord fails to comply with this order the tenant may file the order in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and be enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 11, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch