



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Brown Bros Agencies Limited
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act"), and deals with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 26, 2013, the Landlord served the Tenant with Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding Documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the Landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The Landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the Parties on June 26, 2012, indicating a tenancy start date of July 1, 2012 and a monthly rent of \$955.00 due on the first day of the month;

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on June 7, 2013 with a stated effective vacancy date of June 20, 2013, for \$955.00 in unpaid rent; and
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice to End Tenancy showing that the Landlord served the Notice to End tenancy to the Tenant on June 7, 2013 by posting the Notice on the Tenant's door.

The Notice states that the Tenant had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end from the service date. The Tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenant has been served with the Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the Landlord. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Therefore, I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

It is noted that the application claims \$980.00 however the Notice to End Tenancy indicates that the unpaid rent is \$955.00. There are no evidentiary submissions in relation to or in support of the higher amount claimed in the application. Given this conflict between the amount claimed in the application and the supporting materials for the claim, I dismiss the Landlord's claim for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the Landlord effective **two days after service** on the Tenant. Should the Tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 15, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch

