

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding CAPREIT and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application for dispute resolution under the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act") for an order of possession for the rental unit due to unpaid rent, a monetary order for unpaid rent, for authority to retain the tenant's security deposit and to recover the filing fee.

The landlord appeared; the tenant did not appear.

The landlord gave evidence that they served the tenant with their Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by registered mail on June 28, 2013. The landlord supplied testimony of the tracking number of the registered mail.

I find the tenant was served notice of this hearing in a manner complying with section 89 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act") and the hearing proceeded in the tenant's absence.

The landlord was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and to refer to relevant documentary evidence submitted prior to the hearing, and make submissions to me.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure (Rules); however, I refer to only the relevant evidence regarding the facts and issues in this decision.

Preliminary issue-The landlord's documentary evidence was not in the hearing file and the landlord was not clear if it had been faxed into the Residential Tenancy Branch ("RTB"); however I questioned the landlord and received her affirmed testimony concerning details of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities (the "Notice", the tenancy agreement and service of the hearing documents. I recorded the details and allowed the landlord to send in their documentary evidence at the conclusion of the hearing, with the understanding that if the testimony did not match the documentary evidence or the landlord failed to send in their documentary evidence by the end of the business day on the day of the hearing, I would dismiss the landlord's application for dispute resolution, with leave to reapply.

The landlord complied and did send in the documentary evidence shortly after the hearing and I note the documentary evidence confirmed the landlord's testimony.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for the rental unit due to unpaid rent, a monetary order and to recover the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The landlord gave evidence that this tenancy began on April 1, 2013, monthly rent is \$1040, and a security deposit of \$520 was paid by the tenant at the beginning of the tenancy.

The landlord gave evidence that on June 5, 2013, the tenant was served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the "Notice"), by posting it on the tenant's door, listing unpaid rent of \$2080 as of June 1, 2013. The effective vacancy date listed on the Notice was June 18, 2013.

The Notice informed the tenant that the Notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The Notice also explained that alternatively the tenant had five days to dispute the Notice by making an application for dispute resolution.

The landlord stated that the tenant has not made any further payments of rent, did not pay rent for July and as of the date of the hearing, the tenant owed \$3120 in unpaid rent.

I have no evidence before me that the tenant applied to dispute the Notice.

Analysis

Based on the oral and written evidence and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

I find the tenant was served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent, did not pay the outstanding rent or file an application for dispute resolution in dispute of the Notice within five days of service and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I therefore find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for the rental unit effective two days after service of the order upon the tenant.

I also find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$3170 comprised of outstanding rent of \$3120 through July, 2013, and the \$50 filing fee paid by the landlord for this application.

Conclusion

I grant the landlord a final, legally binding order of possession for the rental unit, which is enclosed with the landlord's Decision. Should the tenant fail to vacate the rental unit pursuant to the terms of the order after being served, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia for enforcement as an order of that Court. The tenant is advised that costs of such enforcement are recoverable from the tenant.

At the landlord's request, I allow the landlord to retain the tenant's security deposit of \$520 in partial satisfaction of the claim.

I grant the landlord a final, legally binding monetary order pursuant to section 67 of the Act for the balance due, in the amount of \$2650, which I have enclosed with the landlord's Decision.

Should the tenant fail to pay the landlord this amount without delay after being served the order, the order may be filed in the Provincial Court of British Columbia (Small Claims) for enforcement as an order of that Court. The tenant is advised that costs of such enforcement are recoverable from the tenant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* and is being mailed to both the applicant and the respondent.

Dated: July 26, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch