



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNSD, MNR, MNDC, FF

### Introduction

Cross applications were scheduled to be heard on May 30, 2013 and both parties appeared at the originally scheduled hearing. Upon hearing from both parties, I determined that the tenant had not received the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution because the tenant had not informed the landlord of his new service address. I adjourned the hearing and ordered the landlord to serve the tenant with his Application for Dispute Resolution at the tenant's new service address.

An adjourned hearing was scheduled for 1:30 p.m. on July 4, 2013 by teleconference and Notices of Adjourned Hearing were sent to both parties. On July 4, 2013, the teleconference call was not available until 1:37 p.m. due to technical difficulties with the teleconference system. I remained on the teleconference call until 1:48 p.m. and during that time neither party had joined the conference call.

As neither party appeared at the adjourned hearing I have dismissed both of their applications with leave to reapply. Alternatively, the parties may request a review hearing if they had attempted to connect to the teleconference call and could not.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 04, 2013

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

