

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding RIVERS INLET ENTERPRISES INC and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by registered mail on July 15, 2013, the tenants did not appear. I find that the tenants have been duly served in accordance with the Act.

The landlord's agent appeared, gave affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions to me.

<u>Issues to be Decided</u>

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent?
Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?
Is the landlord entitled to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim?
Is the landlord entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

Based on the testimony of landlord's agent, I find that the tenants were served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent on July 3, 2013, by posting to the door of the rental unit, which was witnessed. The notice informed the tenants that the notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The notice also explains the tenant had five days to dispute the notice.

The landlord's agent testimony the tenants did not pay the full amount of rent for July 2013, and the balance owing for July rent is \$600.00. The agent stated the tenants have not paid any rent for August and owe a further \$1,200.00. The landlord seeks to recover unpaid rent the amount of \$1,800.00.

Page: 2

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above, the testimony, and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The tenants have not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and are therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days** after service on the tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$1,850.00** comprised of rent owed for July and August 2013 and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application.

I order that the landlord retain the deposit and interest of \$600.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$1,250.00.

This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that court.

Conclusion

The tenants failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the notice to end tenancy. The tenants are presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice to end tenancy.

The landlord is granted an order of possession, and may keep the security deposit and interest in partial satisfaction of the claim. I grant a monetary order for the balance due.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 15, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch