



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MND, MNDC & FF

Introduction

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of a representative of the applicant and in the absence of the respondent although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was sufficiently served on the Tenant by mailing, by registered mail to where the tenant resides on June 28, 2013. It was received by the tenant on July 3, 2013. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to a monetary order and if so how much?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on June 1, 2007. The tenancy ended on May 31, 2012. At that time the rent was \$618 per month payable on the first day of each month. A security deposit was not required or paid.

The landlord testified the tenant has agreed to pay these charges and has signed a repayment agreement.

Analysis

The Residential Tenancy Act provides the tenant must maintain reasonable health, cleanliness and sanitary standards throughout the rental unit and the other residential property to which the tenant has access. The tenant must repair damage to the rental unit or common areas that is caused by the actions or neglect of the tenant or a person permitted on the residential property by the tenant and is liable to compensate the landlord for failure to do so. In some instances the landlord's standards may be higher than what is required by the Act. The tenant is required to maintain the standards set out in the Act. The tenant is not required to make repairs for reasonable wear and tear. The applicant has the burden of proof to establish the claim on the evidence presented at the hearing.

Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee

With respect to each of the landlord's claims I find as follows:

- a. I determined the landlord has established a claim against the tenant in the sum of \$115 move out cleaning which represents approximately one half of the time that was actually spent.
- b. I determined the landlord is entitled to \$15 for the cost to repair and paint a bi fold door
- c. I determined the landlord is entitled to \$180 for the cost to replace a counter top and bar top.
- d. I determined the landlord is entitled to \$72.80 for the cost of carpet cleaning which represents one half of the actual cost.
- e. I determined the landlord is entitled to \$968.81 for the cost of floor covering which represents one half of the actual cost. The carpets were replaced in 2006 and were approximately 6 years old.

In summary I determined the landlord has established a monetary claim against the tenant(s) in the sum of \$1351.61 plus the \$50 filing fee for a total of \$1401.61

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 22, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch

