# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

### DECISION

#### Dispute Codes:

OPR, MNR, MNDC, FF

#### Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the landlord pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for Orders as follows:

- 1. An Order of Possession Section 55;
- 2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent Section 67;
- 3. An Order to recover the filing fee for this application Section 72.

I accept the landlord's evidence that despite the tenant having been served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by *registered mail* in accordance with Section 89 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act) the tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing. The landlord was given full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The landlord advised the tenant vacated on or before August 30, 2013 – therefore an order of Possession is not necessary.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the notice to end tenancy valid? Is the landlord entitled to the monetary amounts claimed?

#### **Background and Evidence**

The tenancy began on May 01, 2013. Rent in the amount of \$1490.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy, the landlord did not collect a security deposit from the tenant. The tenant failed to pay rent in the month of May 2013 and has not paid any rent thereafter. On July 08, 2013 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent for rent due to that date. The tenant further failed to pay rent for the months following. The quantum of the landlord's monetary claim is for the unpaid rent for May – August 2013 in the amount of

\$5960.00. The landlord did not provide evidence in respect to any losses subsequent to August 30, 2013.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

Based on the landlord's testimony I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent and I find the notice to be valid. The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and has not applied for Dispute Resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted the notice.

I find the landlord has established a monetary claim for \$5960.00 in unpaid rent. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$100.00 filing fee, for a total entitlement of **\$6060.00**.

#### **Conclusion**

**I grant** the landlord an Order under Section 67 of the Act for the amount of **\$6060.00**. If necessary, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

#### This Decision is final and binding on both parties.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: September 16, 2013



# Residential Tenancy Branch

## All decisions are binding and both landlord and tenant are required to comply.

The RTB website (<u>www.rto.gov.bc.ca</u>) has information about:

- How and when to enforce an order of possession: Fact Sheet RTB-103: Landlord: Enforcing an Order of Possession
- How and when to enforce a monetary order: Fact Sheet RTB-108: *Enforcing a Monetary Order*
- How and when to have a decision or order corrected:
  Fact Sheet RTB-111: Correction of a Decision or Order
- How and when to have a decision or order clarified: Fact Sheet RTB-141: Clarification of a Decision or Order
- How and when to apply for the review of a decision: Fact Sheet RTB-100: *Review Consideration of a Decision or Order* (Please Note: Legislated deadlines apply)

To personally speak with Residential Tenancy Branch (RTB) staff or listen to our 24 Hour Recorded Information Line, please call:

- Toll-free: 1-800-665-8779
- Lower Mainland: 604-660-1020
- Victoria: 250-387-1602

Contact any Service BC Centre or visit the RTB office nearest you. For current information on locations and office hours, visit the RTB web site at www.rto.gov.bc.ca



**RTB-136** 

Residential Tenancy Branch

#RTB-136 (2011/07)