

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the Landlord pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for Orders as follows:

- 1. An Order of Possession Section 55:
- 2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent Section 67;
- 3. An Order to retain the security deposit Section 38;
- 4. A Monetary Order for compensation Section 67; and
- 5. An Order to recover the filing fee for this application Section 72.

I accept the Landlord's evidence that the Tenant was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by <u>registered mail</u> in accordance with Section 89 of the Act. The Tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing. The Landlord was given full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the notice to end tenancy valid?

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the Landlord entitled to the monetary amounts claimed?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on July 15, 2013. Rent of \$1,500.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. No security deposit was collected by the Landlord as the

Parties agreed that the Tenant would perform work at the unit for the Landlord in exchange for the security deposit. The Landlord states that some work was done and some was not completed. The Tenant failed to pay rent for August 2013 and on August 2, 2013 the Landlord personally served an adult living at the unit with a 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent (the "Notice"). The Tenant has not made an application for dispute resolution and has not paid the arrears. The Landlord does not know whether the Tenant has moved out of the unit but knows that the Tenant was still in the unit two weeks ago. The Landlord claims unpaid rent for August, September and October 2013.

Analysis

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a 10 notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent (the "Notice") the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an application for dispute resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If the tenant does neither of these two things, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Based on the Landlord's evidence I find that the Tenant was served with a valid Notice. The Tenant has not filed an application to dispute the Notice and has not paid the outstanding rent. Given these facts, I find that the Landlord is entitled to an **Order of Possession**. I also find that the Landlord has established a monetary claim for \$3,000.00 in unpaid rent for August and September 2013. As the Landlord does not know whether the Tenant continued to live in the unit past September 30, 2013, I dismiss the claim for October 2013 rent with leave to reapply. The Landlord is entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee for a total monetary amount of \$3,050.00.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the Landlord. The Tenant must be served with this Order of Possession. Should the Tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

Page: 3

I grant the Landlord an order under Section 67 of the Act for \$3,050.00. If necessary, this order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 01, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch