



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Vancouver Eviction Services  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR, MNDC, MNSD, FF

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution seeking an order of possession and a monetary order.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the landlord's agent.

The landlord testified each respondent was served with the notice of hearing documents and this Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Section 59(3) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)* by registered mail on August 12, 2013 in accordance with Section 89. As per Section 90, the documents are deemed received by the respondents on the 5<sup>th</sup> day after it was mailed.

Based on the testimony of the landlord, I find that the respondents have been sufficiently served with the documents pursuant to the *Act*.

One of the named respondents (DS) was not named as a party in the tenancy agreement and as such, I find the landlord cannot make a claim against DS in relation to this tenancy. I amend the landlord's Application to exclude the respondent DS and proceed only against the respondent DDC.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary order for unpaid rent; for all or part of the security deposit and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Sections 38, 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following documentary evidence:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on December 1, 2012 for a 10 month fixed term tenancy beginning on December 1, 2012 for the monthly rent of \$775.00 due on the 1<sup>st</sup> of each month and a security deposit of \$387.50 was paid; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was issued on August 28, 2013 with an effective vacancy date of September 7, 2013 due to \$387.50 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates the tenant failed to pay the full rent owed for the month of August 1, 2013 and that the tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by leaving it with an adult who resides with the tenant on August 28, 2013.

The Notice states the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not pay the rent in full or apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days. The landlord testified the tenant had not paid any rent for the month of September 2013 and as of the time of the hearing had not paid any rent for October 2013. The landlord confirmed the tenant had not paid \$387.50 for August and \$775.00 for September.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on August 28, 2013 and the effective date of the notice was September 7, 2013. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under Section 46(4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find the tenant is conclusively presumed under Section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

### Conclusion

I find the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply

with this order the landlord may file the order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and be enforced as an order of that Court.

I find the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to Section 67 in the amount of **\$1,212.50** comprised of \$1,162.50 rent owed and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application.

I order the landlord may deduct the security deposit and interest held in the amount of \$387.50 in partial satisfaction of this claim. I grant a monetary order in the amount of **\$825.00**.

This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and be enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 01, 2013

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

