



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes: OPR, MNR

This matter proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 30, 2013, the landlord served the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by way of Registered Mail.

Section 90 of the Act provides that a document served in this manner is deemed to have been received on the 5<sup>th</sup> day after service. Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants have been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issues to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession?

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding;
- A copy of the residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on August 17, 2013, indicating that the tenants are obligated to pay \$1,150.00 in rent in advance on the first day of each month;
- A copy of 2 separate 10 Day Notices to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent, both of which were issued on October 14, 2013, with a stated effective vacancy date of October 24, 2013, for a total of \$2,300.00 (\$1,150.00 + \$1,150.00) in unpaid rent effective, respectively, on September 1 and October 1, 2013, and

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice to End Tenancy showing that the landlord served the notices to end tenancy on the tenants in-person on October 14, 2013.

Section 90 of the Act provides that as the notices to end tenancy were served in-person on October 14, 2013, the tenants are deemed to have received the notices on that same date.

The Notices restate section 46(4) of the Act which provides that the tenants had 5 days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution. The tenants did not apply to dispute either Notice to End Tenancy within 5 days from the date of service and the landlord alleged that the tenants did not pay the full amount of rental arrears.

### Analysis

I find that the tenants received the notices to end tenancy on October 14, 2013. I accept the landlord's evidence and I find that the tenants neither paid the rental arrears, nor applied to dispute the notices. The tenants are therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notices. I grant the landlord an **order of possession** which must be served on the tenants. Should the tenants fail to comply, the order may be filed for enforcement in the Supreme Court.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay a total of \$2,300.00 in rent effective October 1, 2013. I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the rental arrears and I grant the landlord a **monetary order** in the amount of **\$2,300.00**.

### Conclusion

I hereby issue an **order of possession** in favour of the landlord effective not later than **two (2) days** after service on the tenants. This order must be served on the tenants. Should the tenants fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

Pursuant to section 67 of the Act, I hereby issue a **monetary order** in favour of the landlord in the amount of **\$2,300.00**. This order may be served on the tenants, filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 07, 2013

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

