

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding RAAMCO International Properties Canadian Ltd. and d.b.a. Renaissance on Shaw Coquitlam and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on November 26, 2013 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail sent to the rental unit address. The landlord provided a Canada Post receipt and tracking number as evidence of service. Section 90 of the Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the 5th day after mailing.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents effective November 31, 2013.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of possession?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on September 1, 2013, indicating a monthly rent of \$980.00 due in advance on or before the 1st day of the month;
- A tenant ledger showing payment of rent to October 2013; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on November 14, 2013 with a stated effective vacancy date of November 24, 2013, for \$980.00 in unpaid November 2013 rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant has failed to pay rent owed and was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by

posting to the tenant's door on November 14, 2013 at 4 p.m. The landlord submitted a proof of service document signed by the landlord and witness to service, D.D.; another employee.

The Notice states that the tenant had 5 days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

The landlord has indicated on the application that November 2013 rent has not been paid.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

The Notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on November 17, 2013; the 3rd day after posting.

Section 53 of the Act allows the effective date of a Notice to be changed to the earliest date upon which the Notice complies with the Act; therefore, I find that the Notice effective date is changed to November 27, 2013.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the Act. There was no evidence before me that the tenant disputed the Notice.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice; November 27, 2013.

Therefore, I find, pursuant to section 55 of the Act, that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service** on the tenant and the Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

Conclusion

The landlord is entitled to an Order of possession.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 03, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch