



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes            MNSD, FF

### Introduction

This hearing was convened by way of conference call in response to the tenants' application for a Monetary Order to recover double the security deposit and to recover the filing fee from the landlord for the cost of this application.

The tenants have provided no evidence that the landlord was served in accordance to s. 89 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*. The tenants state that the landlord did not provide an address for service and the tenants served the application and Notice of hearing to the dispute address but have provided no documentary evidence of this. The landlord did not appear at the hearing today.

In addition to this the tenants have provided no documentary evidence to support their claim for the return of double the security deposit. The tenants state that this was faxed to the Residential Tenancy Office on October 31, 2013; however, I have no evidence to show that the tenants fax was received by this Office and no evidence has been provided in time for this hearing.

### Conclusion

The tenants' application is therefore dismissed with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 06, 2013

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

