

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Stanmar Services Ltd. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **INTERIM DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNDC, PSF

## Introduction

This application for dispute resolution was scheduled to be heard by conference call hearing on November 6, 2013. The named tenant and the landlord's representative called in and participated in the hearing.

At the outset of the hearing it was discovered that the application includes claims by two different tenants who occupied different rental units in the rental property. One of the tenancies is a continuing tenancy and the other has ended.

The applicant was advised at the hearing that the claims with respect to different tenancies may not be joined in one application and must be treated as separate claims, although the claims may be heard together because there may be common evidence presented and common findings of fact.

The landlord's representative was prepared to allow the applicants claim to be adjourned, to be heard together with a new application to be filed by the tenant, L.M., but he was not prepared to deal with the application in its present form.

## Conclusion

Page: 2

Based on the submissions of the parties I agreed to arrange for the claim by the

applicant M. D. to be rescheduled to be heard at the same time as the new claim by

L.M. which was yet to be filed on November 6, 2013. Now that Ms. L.M. has filed her

claim, she has been removed as an applicant in this proceeding and this application will

be rescheduled to be heard on March 3, 2014 by conference call at 9:30 A.M. New

hearing letters will be mailed to each of the parties in a separate mailing.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: November 22, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch