



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR

### Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of a Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to Section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (referred to as the Act) in response to a landlord's application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request which declares that on December 19, 2013 the landlord served each tenant with the Notice of Direct Request by registered mail. Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants were served with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding requesting an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent?

Has the landlord established a monetary claim against the tenants for unpaid rent?

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement signed by the one of the landlords and one of the tenants on August 29, 2013 for a tenancy commencing on September 1, 2013 for the monthly rent of \$650.00 payable on the first day of each month;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities issued on December 5, 2013 with an effective vacancy date of December 15, 2013 due to \$650.00 in unpaid rent due for December, 2013 (both pages of the two page approved form were provided);
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities which states that the landlord personally served the notice to the tenants on December 5, 2013 with a witness.

- The Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution made on December 19, 2013 claiming outstanding rent of \$650.00 for December, 2013.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all the documentary evidence and I accept that the tenants were served personally with the notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to dispute the notice or pay the full rent owed within the 5 days provided under Section 46(4) of the Act. Therefore, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under Section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. I therefore find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

### Conclusion

For the reasons set out above, I hereby grant an Order of Possession in favor of the landlord effective **2 days after service on the tenants**. This order may then be filed and enforced in the Supreme Court as an order of that court.

I further grant a Monetary Order in the amount of **\$650.00** in favor of the landlord pursuant to Section 67 of the Act. This order must be served on the tenants and may then be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 26, 2013

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

