



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Remax Property Management & Ross Brissi
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, FF

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to Section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order due to unpaid rent. A participatory hearing was not convened.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on January 15, 2014 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90 of the *Act* states a document sent by mail is deemed served on the 5th day after it is mailed.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been sufficiently served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents pursuant to the *Act*.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary order for unpaid rent, pursuant to Sections 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following documentary evidence:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on October 13, 2010 for a 6 month fixed term tenancy beginning on October 1, 2010 that converted to a month to month tenancy on April 1, 2011 for the monthly rent

of \$675.00 due on the 1st of each month and a security deposit of \$337.50 was paid; and

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was issued on January 9, 2014 with an effective vacancy date of January 22, 2014 due to \$675.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates the tenant failed to pay the full rent owed for the month of January 2014 and that the tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent and that this service was witnessed by a third party.

The 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities Proof of Service document does not state what day or by what method the landlord served the tenant with the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy. However, the landlord submits in his Application for Dispute Resolution that the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy was issued in person on January 9, 2014

The Notice states the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not pay the rent in full or apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on January 12, 2013 and the effective date of the notice was January 22, 2013. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under Section 46(4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find the tenant is conclusively presumed under Section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Conclusion

I find the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **January 22, 2014 after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and be enforced as an order of that Court.

I find the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to Section 67 and I grant a monetary order in the amount of **\$675.00** comprised of rent owed.

This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and be enforced as an order of that Court

I note the landlord also sought to recover the filing fee for this Application, however as the landlord sought his orders through the Direct Request process I can only grant a monetary order for unpaid rent and cannot authorize recovery of the filing fee from the tenant. I therefore dismiss this portion of the landlord's claim.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 20, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch

