

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Nacel Properties Ltd and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

## Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to Section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order due to unpaid rent. A participatory hearing was not convened.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on January 20, 2014 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90 of the *Act* states a document sent by mail is deemed served on the 5<sup>th</sup> day after it is mailed.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been sufficiently served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents pursuant to the *Act*.

## Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary order for unpaid rent, pursuant to Sections 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

## Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following documentary evidence:

- A copy of a portion of a residential tenancy agreement. The portion is not signed by either of the parties.; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was issued on January 6, 2014 with an effective vacancy date of January 16, 2014 due to \$830.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates the tenant failed to pay the full rent owed for the month of January 2014 and that the tenant was served the 10 Day

Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it to the rental unit door on January 6, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. and that this service was witnessed by a third party.

The Notice states the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not pay the rent in full or apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

Direct Request proceedings are conducted when a landlord issues a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities and the tenant(s) has not filed an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to cancel the Notice within 5 days of receiving the Notice. The proceeding is conducted *ex parte* and based solely on the paperwork provided by the applicant landlord.

Because the hearing is conducted without the benefit of having a participatory hearing in which I might question either of the parties if something is unclear in the paperwork all documents submitted must be complete and clear. As the tenancy agreement submitted into evidence is incomplete I find this Application is not suitable for adjudication through the direct request process.

#### Conclusion

Based on the above, I dismiss the landlord's Application in its entirety with leave to reapply through the participatory hearing process or by Direct Request only if the landlord has and submits a copy of a complete tenancy agreement.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 27, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch